MEMO []

Cooley

MEMO ENDORSED

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

09/22/2015

USDC SDNY

DOCUMENT

DATE FILED: 0
MEMO EN

Celia Goldwag Barenholtz +1 212 479 6330 cbarenholtz@cooley.com

September 18, 2015

Via ECF

Honorable Naomi Reice Buchwald United States District Court for the Southern District of New York United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007-1312

RE: Retrophin, Inc. v. Shkreli, 15-CV-06451 (NRB)

Dear Judge Buchwald:

We represent Retrophin and write in response to the defendant's pre-motion conference letter dated September 16, 2015.

As set forth in my letter to your Honor dated August 28, 2015, a copy of which is attached. Retrophin believes that a single arbitration is the most efficient way for the parties to proceed now that the defendant has decided to commence an arbitration based on his employment agreement. Accordingly, Retrophin does not oppose the defendant's application for a stay of this action pending Order his challenge to the arbitrability of Retrophin's claims.

The defendant's pre-motion conference letter also asserts that he has a motion to dismiss under Rule 9(b) and "other grounds" for seeking a stay should the arbitrator decide that some of the claims asserted in this action cannot be arbitrated, and seeks another 30 days to respond to the Complaint should the arbitrator grant his application. Retrophin objects to that request.

Even assuming that Rule 9(b) applies to this case, Retrophin's 47-page Complaint is not lacking in particularity. Moreover, if the arbitrator agrees with the defendant that some of the claims asserted in the Complaint cannot be arbitrated, then this action - which was filed before the defendant's arbitration demand - will have been delayed for a considerable period of time. Accordingly, Retrophin asks that the defendant be required to file a pre-motion letter explaining the basis for his proposed motion within three days after a ruling in his favor by the arbitrator so this action can proceed expeditiously. If the arbitrator rules against the defendant on the issue of arbitrability, Retrophin will voluntarily dismiss this action.

Respectfully yours,

Celia Goldway Barenhol

Wendy Huang Waszmer, Esq. cc;

Elissa Preheim, Esq.

Richard T. Marooney, Jr., Esq.

MEMO ENDUKSED

1114 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036-T: (212) 479-6000-F: (212) 479-6275. WWW.COOLEY.COM.